

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

David Hoerl et al.

Application No.: 10/724,002

Filed: November 26, 2003

For: IMPROVED SYSTEM FOR VIDEO  
DIGITIZATION AND IMAGE  
CORRECTION FOR USE WITH A  
COMPUTER MANAGEMENT  
SYSTEM

Examiner: T. Nguyen

Art Unit: 2453

Conf No. 7544

December 22, 2009

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

A response to the Final Office Action, mailed June 9, 2009, having a three month shortened statutory period for reply was due on September 22, 2009.<sup>1</sup> A petition for a three-month extension of time to respond, through and including December 22, 2009 has been enclosed herewith along with a Notice of Appeal. Accordingly, this response is deemed to be timely filed. The Examiner is respectfully requested to amend the above-identified application and consider the arguments as follows:

---

<sup>1</sup> Applicant received a Letter Restarting the Period to Reply from the U.S.P.T.O. on June 22, 2009 indicating that the period to reply had been reset from the original date due to problems with the U.S.P.T.O.'s Image File Wrapper (IFW) system.

*Amendment to the Claims* are reflected in the listing of the claims that begin on page 3 of this paper.

*Remarks* begin on page 9 of this paper.